IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1.   (Previously Presented) A computer system comprising:

means for receiving a query from an agent of a law firm;

means, responsive to the received query, for searching at least first and second

physical or logical databases for content related to the query, with the first database being

a part of an information-management system for the law firm including briefs,

client correspondence, advisory opinions, or legal memoranda of the law firm and

the second database being external to the information-management system and

including case opinions, court documents, law review articles, statutory materials,

or legislative histories.

2.   (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the first and second databases are separated by
a firewall.

3.   (Previously Presented) The system of claim 1:

wherein the second databases are part of an online pay-for-access legal research service.

4.   (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the means for receiving a query includes a
graphical user interface for displaying a taxonomy of selectable legal topics, with
selection of one or more of the legal topics indicative of a query being received.

5.   (Previously Presented) The system of claim 1: wherein the query includes an
identification of a legal case; and wherein the system further comprises means for
displaying at least a portion of the documents found by the means for searching, with
each displayed portion associated with an indicator of whether the document is a work-

product document of the law firm and with a depth-of-treatment indicator indicating a degree of treatment of the legal case within the document.

6.   (Original) The system of claim 5, wherein each displayed portion associated with an indicator that indicates the document is a work-product document is further associated with information identifying an author of the document, an office location of the author, and an identification of documents within a document management system for the law firm.

7.   (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the query includes an identification of a legal case; and wherein the system further comprises means for displaying at least a portion of each document found by the means for searching, with each displayed portion associated with: a selectively displayable table of authorities listing documents cited within the document; a selectively displayable listing of other documents citing the document; a selectively displayable listing of work-product documents citing the document.

8.   (Original) The system of claim 7, wherein each listed document is associated with a depth-of-treatment indicator indicating a quantitative and/or qualitative degree to which the listed document treats the legal case and one or more of the listed work-product documents are associated with a feedback indicator selectable to view one or more user comments on the one or more listed work-product documents.

9.   (Previously Presented) The system of claim 7, wherein each portion of the documents found by the means for searching includes a selection device for invoking display of text of the document, with text including one or more selectable citations to other corresponding documents and with each citation associated with an indicator of current reliability of its corresponding document as a legal authority.

10.   (Previously Presented) A method comprising:

providing an interface for an online legal research service, wherein the interface enables

an authorized law firm user to view search results including both internal law-firm

content including briefs, client correspondence, advisory opinions, or legal

memoranda of the law firm and content of the online legal research service,

wherein the search results are based on a single query submitted or initiated

through the interface by the user.

11.   (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10, wherein the law-firm content is stored in

a law-firm information-management system that includes a document management

system for the law firm and is separated from the online legal research service by a

firewall.

12.   (Previously Presented) A machine-readable medium comprising:

one or more instructions for providing an interface for an online legal research service,

wherein the interface enables an authorized law firm user to view search results

including both internal law-firm information-system content including briefs,

client correspondence, advisory opinions, or legal memoranda and content of the

online legal research service, wherein the search results are based on a single

query initiated through the interface by the user.

13.   (Currently Amended) A browser-compatible user interface for an online legal research

service, wherein the user interface is implemented using at least one processor and

memory, and enables a user at a law firm workstation within a law firm firewall to access

internal law firm work product documents stored in a law-firm information-management

system and external case law documents of the online legal research service by initiating

or submitting a single query via the interface.

14.   (Previously Presented) The system of claim 1:

wherein the agent of the law firm includes an authorized law firm user;

wherein the work-product documents include internal law-firm content and the non-

work-product documents include content of an online legal research service; and

wherein the means for receiving the query comprises a machine-readable medium

comprising one or more instructions for providing an interface for the online legal

research service, wherein the interface presents the authorized law firm user

search results including both internal law-firm content and content of the online

legal research service, wherein the search results are based on a single query

submitted through the interface by the user.

15.   (Withdrawn) A computer system for legal research, comprising:

a first database for an online legal research service, the first database including pay-for-

access legal documents;

a second database for a law firm, the second database including work-product documents

for the law firm; and

a graphical user interface for presenting search results from the first and second databases

in response to a single user query.

16.   (Withdrawn) The system of claim 15, further including at least one firewall between the

first and second databases.

17.   (Withdrawn) The system of claim 15:

wherein the work product documents include briefs, client correspondence, advisory

opinions, or legal memoranda; and

wherein the pay-for-access documents include court documents, law review articles,

statutory materials, and legislative histories.

18.   (Withdrawn) The system of claim 15, wherein the graphical user interface includes

means for visually differentiating the work-product documents from pay-for-access legal

document.

19.   (Withdrawn) The system of claim 15, wherein the single user query identifies a judicial opinion, and the search results include a listing of two or more documents, with each listed document associated with a depth-of-treatment indicator indicating a degree of treatment of the judicial opinion within the document.

20.   (Withdrawn) The system of claim 19, wherein each listed document that is a work-product document is further associated with information identifying an author of the document, an office location of the author, and an identification of documents within a document management system for the law firm.

21.   (Withdrawn) The system of claim 19, wherein each listed document that is a work-product document is associated with a feedback indicator selectable to view one or more user comments regarding the listed document.